UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,329	08/28/2003	Jeff Hodson	6065-85071	7836
24628 WELSH & K.A	7590 06/01/2007 ATZ, LTD		EXAMINER	
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/651,329	HODSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te. cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 /	August 2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or control of the application. 	awn from con s ideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	: a)⊠ accep ted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is require d if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the prity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nil Date				

Art Unit: 2614

ú,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Independent claim 21 is objected to because it recites the term "adapted to", which is not considered a positive limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Dependent claims 22-25 are objected for the same reasons addressed for independent claim 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beck (US PAT # 6,108,711).

Regarding claims 1-5, 11-15 and 21-23, Beck teaches a method of guiding a conversation taking place between a client (customer a and b as shown in Fig. 2) and an agent (agent a and b as shown in Fig. 2) through a communication system such as the network shown in Figs. 1-2), such method comprising: detecting an information content of the conversation (col. 4, lines 54-67); determining a goal of the client from the

Art Unit: 2614

detected information content (this simply reads on the what does the client desire. This limitation basically reads on type of the call); and suggesting a subject matter to the agent to guide the conversation towards the goal of the client (see col. 12, lines 18-21).

Regarding claims 2, 12 and 22, Beck teaches determining an identity of the client from the detected information content (see col. 1, lines 57-67).

Regarding claims 3-4, 13-14 and 23, Beck teaches retrieving contact information based upon the determined identity of the client (see col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 2, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, Beck teaches recognizing a voice content of a conversation between the client and the agent (see col. 7, lines 48-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 2614

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 6-10, 16-20 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck et al. in view of Bohacek et al. (US PAT # 6,411,687).

Regarding claims 6 and 16, beck does not **spe**cifically teach performing stress analysis on a voice of a client.

However, Bohacek teaches a speech recognition device that detects high stress or annoyed callers (see abstract of the invention and col. 1, lines 45-52).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of analyzing and detecting the high stressed voice of a caller, as taught by Bohacek, into the system of Beck in order to provide an enhanced and efficient services to the callers by maintaining happier and satisfied clients/callers.

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Bohacek teaches measuring a voice pitch of the voice of the client (see col. 3, lines 55-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/651,329

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Bohacek teaches measuring a word rate of the voice

Page 5

of the client (this preformed by word analyzer 44, see col. 3, lines 7-54 and Fig. 4).

Claims 9-10, 19-20 and 24-25 recite displaying a text message on a terminal

used by the agent. Beck teaches an agent work station that is equipped with a PC

capable of handling different multimedia. Thus displaying the suggestion either by text

or in the form of an audible message is obvious if not inherent in the Beck system.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571)

272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RASHA S. AL-AUBAIDI PATENT EXAMINER